# Differential subordination and superordination results for generalized "Srivastava–Attiya" fractional integral operator

## Amit Soni

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we derive some subordination and superordination results for the generalized "Srivastava- Attiya" fractional integral operator. Some interesting corollaries for this operator is also obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{U})$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  consisting of functions which are also univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Further let  $\mathcal{H}[a, p]$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  consisting of function of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_p z^p + a_{p+1} z^{p+1} + \dots, \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}, \ p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  denote the class of all analytic functions of the form

(1) 
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For simplicity, we write  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{A}$ .

Given two functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ , we say that f is subordinate to g or g is superordinate to f in  $\mathbb{U}$  and write  $f \prec g$ , if there exists a Schwarz function w, analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , such that f(z) = g(w(z)) in  $\mathbb{U}$ . In particular, if g(z) is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \left[f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})\right].$$

Supposing that h and k are two analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ , let  $\phi(r, s, t; z)$ :  $\mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ . If h and  $\phi(h(z), zh'(z), z^2h''(z); z)$  are univalent and if h and

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30C45; Secondary: 30C80.

Key words and phrases. p-valent analytic function, Differential subordination, Differential superordination, "Srivastava- Attiya" fractional integral operator.

*Full paper*. Received 9 March 2022, revised 11 April 2022, accepted 22 August 2022, available online 12 October 2022.

 $\phi(h(z),zh'(z),z^2h''(z);z)$  are univalent functions in  $\mathbb U$  and h satisfies the second-order superordination

(2) 
$$k(z) \prec \phi(h(z), zh'(z), z^2h''(z); z),$$

then k(z) is said to be a solution of the differential superordination (2). A function  $q \in \mathbb{U}$  is called a subordinant of (2), if  $q(z) \prec h(z)$  for all the functions h satisfying (2). A univalent subordinant that satisfies  $q(z) \prec \tilde{q}(z)$  for all of the subordinants q of (2), is said to be the best subordinant. Recently, Miller and Mocanu [6] obtained the sufficient conditions on the functions k, q and  $\phi$  for which the following implication holds:

$$k(z) \prec \phi(h(z), zh'(z), z^2h''(z); z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad q(z) \prec h(z).$$

Using results of Miller and Mocanu [6], Bulboacã [2] considered certain classes of first order differential superordination as well superordinationpreserving integral operators [3]. Ali *et* al. [1] have used the results of Bulboacã [2] to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_2(z),$$

where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are given univalent function in U. Also, Shanmugam *et* al. [10] obtained sufficient conditions for a normalized analytic f(z) to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec q_2(z),$$
$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} \prec q_2(z),$$

where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are given univalent function in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $q_1(0) = 1$  and  $q_2(0) = 1$ . Further subordination results can be found in [7,8,11–13].

The fractional integral operator (see [20]) of order  $\lambda(\lambda > 0)$  is defined for a function f by

(3) 
$$D_z^{-\lambda}f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\lambda}} dt,$$

where f is analytic function in a simply-connected region of z-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{1-\lambda}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real, when  $\Re(z-t) > 0$ .

Recently, Srivastava and Attiya [21] introduced and investigated the linear operator: Now for  $f \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define the function  $G_{s,b}(z)$  by

(4) 
$$G_{s,b}(z) := (1+b)^s [\Phi(z,s,b) - b^{-s}], \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We also denote by

$$J_{s,b}(f): \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

the linear operator defined by

(5) 
$$J_{s,b}(f)(z) := G_{s,b}(z) * f(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in \mathcal{A}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C})$$

in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution).

We note that

(6) 
$$J_{s,b}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b}\right)^s a_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C}; f \in \mathcal{A}).$$

**Remark 1.** It follows from (5) and (6) that one can define the operator  $J_{s,b}(f)$  for  $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ . Therefore, we may use the following limit relationship:

(7) 
$$J_{s,0}f(z) := \lim_{b \to 0} \{J_{s,b}(f)(z)\}.$$

Motivated essentially by the above-mentioned "Srivastava-Attiya" operator, Wang [22] introduced the operator for the class  $\mathcal{A}_p$ .

(8) 
$$J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}(f): \ \mathcal{A}_p \to \mathcal{A}_p,$$

which is defined as

(9) 
$$J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha+p)_k}{k!} \left(\frac{p+b}{p+k+b}\right)^s a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where  $(\nu)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

(10) 
$$(\nu)_k := \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0, \\ \nu(\nu+1)\cdots(\nu+k-1), & k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Recently q-extension of "Srivastava-Attiya" operator have been studied in [19], the mathematical applications of q-calculus, fractional q-calculus and the fractional q-derivative operators can be seen in [15]. Srivastava et al. [18] also reconnoiter the not-yet-widely-known fact that the so-called (p, q)-variation of classical q-calculus is a rather trivial and inconsequential variation of classical q-calculus. For more detail and related works one can see in ([9, 14, 16, 17]).

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that the parameter s, b, p and  $\alpha$  are constrained as follows:

(11) 
$$s \in \mathbb{C}; \ b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \ p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha > -p$$

From (3) and (9), we get the fractional integral operator  $\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)$  defined as

(12)  
$$\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}z^{\lambda+p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha+p)_{k}}{k!}\frac{\Gamma(p+k+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+p+k+1)}\left(\frac{p+b}{p+k+b}\right)^{s}a_{p+k}z^{p+k+\lambda}$$

for  $(\lambda + p + 1 > 0, \alpha + p > 0)$ . Also, it is easily verified from (12) that

(13) 
$$z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)\right)' = (\lambda-\alpha)\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z) + (\alpha+p)\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha+1,p}f(z).$$

**Definition 1** (Miller and Mocanu [6]). Denote by Q the set of all functions f(z) that are analytic and injective on  $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus E(f)$ , where

$$E(f) = \{ \eta \in \partial \mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \to \eta} f(z) = \infty \},\$$

and are such that  $f'(\eta) \neq 0$  for  $\eta \in \partial U \setminus E(f)$ .

To prove our results we shall need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** (Bulboacã [4]). Let q(z) be convex univalent in the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ and  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  be analytic in a domain  $\mathbb{D}$  containing  $q(\mathbb{U})$ . Suppose that

- 1.  $\Re[\theta'(q(z))/\psi(q(z))] > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,
- 2.  $zq'(z)\psi(q(z))$  is starlike in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$  with  $p(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  and  $\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\psi(p(z))$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and

(14) 
$$\theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\psi(q(z)) \prec \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\psi(p(z)).$$

then  $q(z) \prec p(z)$  and q is the best subordinant of (14).

**Lemma 2** (Frasin [5]). Let the function p(z) and q(z) be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and suppose that  $q(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) is also univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and that  $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$  is starlike univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . If q(z) satisfies

(15) 
$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{c_1}{\beta}q(z) + \frac{2c_2}{\beta}(q(z))^2 + \dots + \frac{nc_n}{\beta}(q(z))^n - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > 0$$

and

(16)  

$$c_{0} + c_{1}p(z) + c_{2}(p(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(p(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}$$

$$\prec c_{0} + c_{1}q(z) + c_{2}(q(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(q(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots, c_{n}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \beta \neq 0),$$

then  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) and q is the best dominant.

We now first prove the following subordination result involving the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)$ .

### 2. Subordination results for analytic functions

**Theorem 1.** Let the function q(z) be analytic and univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  such that  $q(z) \neq 0$ ,  $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ . Suppose that  $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$  is starlike univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and the

inequality (15) holds true. Let

$$\Omega_{j}^{m}(c_{0},c_{1},c_{2},...c_{n},\beta,\alpha,\lambda,p,f) = c_{0} + c_{1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}} \right) + c_{2} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}} \right)^{2} + \dots + c_{n} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}} \right)^{n} + \beta(\alpha+p) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)} - 1 \right).$$

If q(z) satisfies

(18)  

$$\Omega_{j}^{m}(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, ...c_{n}, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f)$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, ...c_{n}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \beta \neq 0),$$

then

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right)\prec q(z),\quad(z\in\mathbb{U}\backslash\{0\}),$$

and q is the best dominant.

*Proof.* Define the function h(z) by

$$h(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + p + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)}{z^{\lambda + p}}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Then a computation shows that

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{z\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda}(J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda}(J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z))} - (\lambda+p).$$

By using the identity (13), we obtain

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = (\alpha + p) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha+1,p} f(z)}{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)} - 1 \right),$$

which, in light of hypothesis (16), yields the following subordination

$$c_{0} + c_{1}h(z) + c_{2}(h(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(h(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}$$
  
$$\prec c_{0} + c_{1}q(z) + c_{2}(q(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(q(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

and Theorem 1 follows by an application of Lemma 2.

For the choices  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ,  $-1 \le B < A \le 1$  and  $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}$ ,  $0 \le \mu \le 1$  in Theorem 1, we get Corollaries 1 and 2 below.

**Corollary 1.** Assume that (15) holds true. If  $f \in A_p$  and

$$\begin{split} \Omega_j^m(c_0,c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_n,\beta,\alpha,\lambda,p,f) \\ \prec c_0 + c_1 \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right) + c_2 \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)^2 + \cdots \\ + c_n \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)^n + \beta \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Az)(1+Bz)}, \\ (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ c_0,c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_n,\beta \in \mathbb{C}; \ \beta \neq 0) \end{split}$$

where  $\Omega_j^m(c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f)$  is as defined in equation (17), then

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

and  $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is the best dominant.

**Corollary 2.** Assume that (15) holds true. If  $f \in A_p$  and

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{j}^{m}(c_{0},c_{1},c_{2},\ldots,c_{n},\beta,\alpha,\lambda,p,f) \\ \prec c_{0}+c_{1}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu}+c_{2}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2\mu}+\ldots \\ +c_{n}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2n\mu}+\frac{2\beta\mu z}{1-z^{2}}, \\ (z\in\mathbb{U};c_{0},c_{1},c_{2},\ldots,c_{n},\beta\in\mathbb{C};\beta\neq0), \end{split}$$

where  $\Omega_j^m(c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f)$  is as defined in equation (17), then

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\mu},$$

and  $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$  is the best dominant.

For  $q(z) = e^{\epsilon A z}$ ,  $(|\epsilon A| < \pi)$ , in Theorem 1, we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.** Assume that (15) holds true. If  $f \in A_p$  and

 $\Omega_j^m(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f) \prec c_0 + c_1 e^{\epsilon A z} + c_2 e^{2\epsilon A z} + c_n e^{n\epsilon A z} + \beta \epsilon A z,$ where  $\Omega_j^m(c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f)$  is as defined in equation (17), then

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right)\prec e^{\epsilon A z}, \quad (z\in\mathbb{U}\backslash\{0\}),$$

and  $e^{\epsilon Az}$  is the best dominant.

### 3. Superordination for analytic functions

Next, applying Lemma 1, we obtain the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.** Let q be analytic and convex univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  such that  $q(z) \neq 0$ and  $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$  is starlike univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Suppose also that

(19) 
$$\Re\left(\frac{c_1}{\beta}q(z) + \frac{2c_2}{\beta}(q(z))^2 + \dots + \frac{nc_n}{\beta}(q(z))^n\right) > 0$$
$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots c_n, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \beta \neq 0).$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ 

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right)\in\mathcal{H}[q(0),1]\cap Q$$

and  $\Omega_j^m(c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f)$  defined in (17) is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the following superordination:

(20)  

$$c_{0} + c_{1}q(z) + c_{2}(q(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(q(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$$

$$\prec \Omega_{j}^{m}(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots, c_{n}, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f),$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots c_{n}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \beta \neq 0),$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec \left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}),$$

and q(z) is the best subordinant.

*Proof.* Let

$$\theta(\omega) = c_0 + c_1\omega + c_2\omega^2 + \dots - c_n\omega^n$$
 and  $\psi(\omega) := \beta \frac{\omega'}{\omega}$ .

Then, we observe that  $\theta(\omega)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\psi(\omega)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that  $\psi(\omega) \neq 0$  ( $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ). Since q is a convex univalent in U, it follows that

$$\Re\left(\frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\psi(q(z))}\right) = \Re\left(\frac{c_1}{\beta}q(z) + \frac{2c_2}{\beta}(q(z))^2 + \dots + \frac{nc_n}{\beta}(q(z))^n\right) > 0,$$
$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \beta \neq 0).$$

Theorem 2 follows as an application of Lemma 1.

Combining the results of differential subordination and superordination, we state that the following sandwich result.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $q_1$  be convex univalent and  $q_2$  be univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  such that  $q_1(z) \neq 0$  and  $q_2(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ). Suppose also that  $q_2$  satisfies (19) and  $q_1$  satisfies (15). If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ,

$$\left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)}\frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda}J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p}f(z)}{z^{\lambda+p}}\right)\in\mathcal{H}[q(0),1]\cap Q$$

and

$$c_{0} + c_{1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + p + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)}{z^{\lambda + p}} \right) + c_{2} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + p + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)}{z^{\lambda + p}} \right)^{2} + \cdots + c_{n} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + p + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)}{z^{\lambda + p}} \right)^{n} + \beta(\alpha + p) \left( \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha+1,p} f(z)}{\mathfrak{D}_{z}^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)} - 1 \right),$$
$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; \ c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots, c_{n}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \ \beta \neq 0)$$

is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the subordination given by

(21)  

$$c_{0} + c_{1}q_{1}(z) + c_{2}(q_{1}(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(q_{1}(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zq'_{1}(z)}{q_{1}(z)}$$

$$\prec \Omega_{j}^{m}(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots, c_{n}, \beta, \alpha, \lambda, p, f)$$

$$\prec c_{0} + c_{1}q_{2}(z) + c_{2}(q_{2}(z))^{2} + \dots + c_{n}(q_{2}(z))^{n} + \beta \frac{zq'_{2}(z)}{q_{2}(z)},$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots, c_{n}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}; \beta \neq 0),$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + p + 1)}{\Gamma(p + 1)} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_z^{-\lambda} J_{s,b}^{\alpha,p} f(z)}{z^{\lambda + p}} \prec q_2(z),$$

and  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant of (21).

#### References

- R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M. Hussain Khan, K.G. Subramanian, *Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 15 (1) (2004), 87-94.
- [2] T. Bulboacã, Classes of first order differential superordinations, Demonstratio Mathematica, 35 (2) (2002), 287-292.
- [3] T. Bulboacã, A class of superordination-preserving integral operators, Indagationes Mathematicae, 13 (3) (2002), 301-311.
- [4] T. Bulboacã, Differential subordinations and superordinations, Recent Results, House of Science Books, Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [5] B.A. Frasin, A new differential operator of analytic functions involving binomial series, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, 38 (5) (2020), 205-213.

- [6] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, Subordinations of differential superordinations, Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal, 48 (10) (2003), 815-826.
- [7] M. Obradovic, M.K. Aouf, S. Owa, On some results for starlike functions of complex order, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, 46 (60) (1989) 79-85.
- [8] M. Obradovic, S. Owa, On certain properties for some classes of starlike functions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 145 (2) (1990), 357-364.
- [9] S. Owa, H.M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 39 (5) (1987), 1057-1077.
- [10] T.N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, B.A. Frasin, S. Kavitha, On sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving Carlson-Shaffer operator, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, 45 (3) (2008), 611-620.
- [11] S. Shams, S.R. Kulkarni, J.M. Jahangiri, Subordination properties of p-valent functions defined by integral operators, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2006 (2006), Article ID: 94572, 3 pages.
- [12] V. Singh, On some criteria for univalence and starlikeness, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 34 (4) (2003), 569-577.
- [13] A. Soni, S. Kant, Differential subordination and superordination results for p-valent analytic functions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 6 (5) (2015), 7-21.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava, A Survey of some recent developments on higher transcendental functions of analytic number theory and applied mathematics, Symmetry, 13 (12) (2021), Article ID: 2294, 22 pages.
- [15] H.M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q-) calculus and fractional q-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction A: Science, 44 (2020), 327-344.
- [16] H.M. Srivastava, Some general families of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions and their applications: Recent developments and directions for further researchers, Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, 45 (2) (2019), 234-269.
- [17] H.M. Srivastava, The Zeta and related functions: Recent developments, Journal of Advanced Engineering and Computation, 3 (1) (2019), 329-354.
- [18] H.M. Srivastava, E.S.A. Abu Jarad, F. Jarad, G. Srivastava, M.H.A. Abu Jarad, The Marichev-Saigo-Maeda fractional-calculus operators involving the (p,q)-extended Bessel and Bessel-Wright functions, Fractal and Fractional, 5 (4) (2021), Article ID: 210, 15 pages.
- [19] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Wanas, R. Srivastava, Applications of the q-Srivastava-Attiya operator involving a certain family of Bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials, Symmetry, 13 (7) (2021), Article ID: 1230, 14 pages.
- [20] H.M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Eds.), Current topics in analytic function theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992.

- [21] H.M. Srivastava, A.A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 18 (3) (2007), 207-216.
- [22] Z.G. Wang, Q.G. Li, Y.P. Jiang, Certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions involving the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 21 (3) (2010), 221-234.

### Amit Soni

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVT. ENGINEERING COLLEGE BIKANER, 334004, RAJASTHAN INDIA *E-mail address*: aamitt1981@gmail.com